[17]

In which m: 1, as the greater axis of the generating ellipse is to the lesser. A is a circular arc, to the radius 1, whose tangent is $\sqrt{m^2-1}$, or its reciprocal, if m^2-1 . And l is the natural logarithm of S, S being the fine of the arc, whose co-fine is $\frac{1}{m} \times \sqrt{m^2-1}$, and V the versed fine of the same arc. Note, The two first theorems, by substituting t

Note, The two first theorems, by substituting t for $\sqrt{m^2-1}$, coincide with those of Mr. Maclaurin for the oblate spheroid, in his differtation on the tides.

III. A Letter from the Rev. Mr. George Costard, Fellow of Wadham-College, Oxford, to Dr. Bevis, concerning the Year of the Eclipse foretold by Thales.

Dear Sir,

Read Jan. 25, THANK you greatly for the use of the Petersburg Acts, while in London, where Bayer supposeth the eclipse foretold by Thales to the Ionians sell out the year before Christ 603. Since my return home, upon looking over some papers, that I had formerly drawn up on that subject, I find, that I had determined it to have been the very same year. I will not trouble you with the chronological arguments, on which I sounded that determination; and therefore shall only transcribe so much of those papers as relates to calculation.

C Riccioli

Riccioli supposeth, that the eclipse foretold by Thales happened the year before Christ 585; and quotes both Theon and Cleomedes, in confirmation of the opinion. Theon, perhaps, had Cleomedes's words in view; but neither of these authors have circumstances enough to determine what eclipse in particular they The passage of Theon is in his chapter concerning the moon's parallax, where he fays, that Hipparchus, being in doubt whether the fun had any parallax at all, supposed, in the first book of his treatife concerning Magnitudes and Distances, that the earth, in respect of the sun, was only a point; from whence, by means of an eclipse there set down by him, he framed two distances of the sun, a less Εν γάρ τῷ Α περί μεγεθῶν κὴ ἀποςηand a greater. μάλων λαμδάνει φαινόμενον τότο, Εκλει τιν Ήλίε, έν μέν τοις περί τον Ελλήσπονδον τόποις όλε το Ήλίε ακριδώς γεγενεμένην, ώς ε μηδέν αυθέ παραφαίνεως έν Αλεξανδρεία δέ τη καί Αιγυπίον τα Δ μαλιζα πεμπημόρια της διαμέρε εκλελοιπότα.

All then that is here faid is, that the eclipse made use of by Hipparchus was total at the Hellespont; but at Alexandria in Egypt a little more than 5 digits only. But he hath neither given us the æra of Nabonassar, the place of the luminaries, nor any one circumstance besides, by which we might form any con-

clusion what year this eclipse was in.

Cleomedes, who perhaps saw the same treatise of Hipparchus, is as uncircumstantial as Theon. He says only, that the diameter of the moon's shadow at the earth is something more than 4000 stadia. And to confirm this affertion, he says, yey're de à a'lh Thenois en The Rala Tor Hair Examples of old on NOTE

εν Ελλήσουτω εκλάπων, ετηρηθη εν Αλεξανδζάφ παρά το πέμπον της ίδιας εκλάπων διαμέρου υπερ ές ι καλά

την φανλασίαν παρά δακτύλυς δύο κ βραχύ.

By the quantity of obscuration here mentioned, this seems to have been the same eclipse with that quoted by Theon from Hipparchus; but as the place of obfervation in both these authors appears to have been Alexandria in Egypt, it must have been after that place Confequently it was probably observed was built. there by Hipparchus himself, and therefore could not have been the eclipse foretold by Thales. was this eclipse total upon the banks of the Hellefpont, I know not what reason there is for supposing. that the battle between the Lydians and the Medes was fought there. It should rather seem, that the engagement was on the confines of the two kingdoms: confequently in a more fouthern latitude, and in a longitude more to the east of Alexandria, this eclipse could not have been total; nor therefore (as Herodotus said it did) turn day into night.

Sir Isaac Newton, in his chronology, likewise supposes the eclipse meant to have been that in May, the year before Christ 585. But in this, perhaps, he rather followed others, than adopted it after any examination of his own. That treatise never had the finishing hand of its great author, and it is well

known now in what manner it came abroad.

According to Riccioli, this eclipse was at the Hellespont central, and at Sardes fell out at 6 of the clock in the afternoon; and therefore is rejected, you find, by Maier, in the Petersburg Acts, as being too late in the day. "Quia ad littora Asia minoris

[20]

" (says he) sub solis occasum appulit, in Natolia " nulla suit eclipsis totalis, quin nec conspicua ob instantem solis occasum."

According to my computation, the apparent time of the true conjunction was at Greenwich, May 28, 4h 25' 15".

T 37 7 7	9	Q:	` *	/#
The place of the luminaries	1	29	0	24
Moon's latitude north			20	54
Semidiameter of the earth's disk			6 I	14
Semidiameter of the penumbra			32	40
Time of the greatest obscuration at	ď	h	,	#
Greenwich	28	4.	32	33
Beginning of the general eclipse			3	
End of the general eclipse		7	I	46
Duration		4	58	26
			0	,
Longitude of Sardes east from London			29	5"
Its longitude north		s.	38	10
Its longitude north Time of fun-fet there May 28, 7h	6	54	".	

The passage of the centre of the penumbra over the earth's disk was at the following times, as reckoned at Greenwich, thus:

Times [Lo	Longitudes			Latitudes			
h	1	"	Q	1	11	0	. 1	"		
3	22	33	115	5	\mathbf{v}	22	10	40 N		
4	02	33	95	24	50	31	30	10		
4	32	3 3	75	5 2	30	38	49	10		
4	35	55	73	34	40	39	31	10		
4	43	34	68	8	O	41	2	23		
5	02	33	52	22	10	44	11	30		
5	32	3 3	25	20	20	46	36	30		

By this, I think, it pretty plainly appears, that the centre of the shadow passed so far from any place, where we can reasonably suppose the battle between the Lydians and Medes to have been fought, that this can hardly have been the eclipse mentioned by Herodotus.

Father Hardouin, in his chronology of the Old Testament, rejects this eclipse, as not happening, he favs, in the reign of Cyaxares, but in that of Astyages; not on the fourth year of the Olympiad, but a month before it began; as falling out too late in the day; the greatest obscuration being (at Sardes, I suppose he means) scarce half an hour before fun-set; and not total, or central, or 12.56' digits, as Riccioli makes it, but almost q. Tho' Pliny therefore fays this eclipse was Olymp. xLV111. 4. & A. v. c. clx. yet fix MSS. he observes, in the French king's library, have CLXX. and so most printed He thinks therefore, that, instead of CLXX the number should be CLVII. which, he says, is Olympiad xLv111. 4. and the year before Christ 597; when there was an eclipse of the fun, on Wednesday July the 9, at 6 of the clock in the morning. This

[22]

This eclipse Petavius likewise prefers; tho he makes the digits eclipsed only 9.22': Which is strange enough, as it could not have been by any means the cause of such a darkness, as is described by Herodotus. But F. Hardouin supposeth, that this battle was sought upon the banks of the river Halys in Cappadocia, and in latitude north 40°; where, says he, this eclipse must have been central and annular.

According to Dr. Halley's tables, the year before Christ 597 the apparent time of the true conjunction

at Greenwich was July 8d 21h 50' 9'; and

	2	0	, 1	#
The place of the luminaries	3	9	17	O
Moon's latitude north			33	32
Semidiameter of the earth's disk			54	
Semidiameter of the penumbra			30	44
	đ	h.	,	11
Beginning of the general eclipse			- 8	
End of the general eclipse	9	0	49	2
Duration		5	41	6
		Q	,	11
Sun rose centrally eclipsed, in longitu	ıde	:		
west from Greenwich		_	33	Ö
And in latitude north				50
Sun set centrally eclipsed, in longitude e	aft			
from Greenwich	1	132		
And in latitude north		26	25	20

The place of the centre of the penumbra was at the following times, as reckoned at Greenwich, thus:

Times

	Tim	œs	Lo	ngitu	des	Latitudes		
h	. 1	11	0	,	Ir	P	. 1	"
3.5	46	15	30	2 I	οE	62	30	34 N
2 I	58	39	42	53	30	60	5 3	Ö
22	13	39	56	32	40	58	8	20
22	28	39	68	_58	٥	54	41	40

This eclipse, therefore, at Sardes, or any-where else that we can well suppose this battle to have been fought, could not have been great enough to turn day into night; and therefore doth not answer Herodotus's description.

Archbishop Usher rejects both these eclipses, as inconsistent with his chronology; and supposes that intended to have been A. M. 4113. An. Nab. 147. before Christ 601. Olymp. XLIV. 4. Sunday July 20. 3^h 25'. before noon, digits eclipsed 9. But this like-

wife is greatly defective as to quantity.

But the this is insufficient for the purpose, yet there was one two years before this, or the year before Christ 603, that will be found by good tables intirely satisfactory. Petavius, indeed, makes the digits eclipsed only 7.20'; but, according to Dr. Halley's tables, the apparent time of the true conjunction was at Greenwich, May 17d 20h 42' 19". The place of the luminaries 11. 19° 12'. and the moon's latitude north 25' 17".

	•	• • .
Semidiameter of the fun	15	49
Semidiameter of the moon	16	45
Semidiameter of the penumbra	32	34
Semidiameter of the earth's disk		
	Beginn	ing

	h	•	17
Beginning of the central eclipse	19	13	27
End of the central eclipse	22	3	47
Duration	. 2	50	20
Sun rose centrally eclipsed, in longitude	0	,	"
west from Greenwich	43	46	10
And in latitude north	2	54	0
Sun set centrally eclipsed, in longitude			
east from Greenwich	155	56	20
And in latitude north	42	38	10

The place of the centre was at the following times, as reckoned there, thus:

Ĩ.	Time	s (Lo	ngitu	des	Latitudes		
h	1.	"	O	7	. #/	0	/	H
19	13	27	43	46	wor	2	54	o N
20	8	37	19	19	30 E	31	33	0
20	23	37	28	28	10 E	35	47	50.
20	4.2	19	40	29	30 E	40	41	20
22	3	47	155	56	20 E	4.2	38	10

By this it appears, that, if modern maps and geographers may be depended upon, the center of the shadow passed over the kingdom of Barca and Africa, and crossed the Mediterranean between Candia and Cyprus, and then over Antiochetta and Erzroum, and a little to the south of Kars.

You will see, Sir, how this agrees with what is said in the Petersburg Acts, pag. 332. which, therefore, I shall not transcribe. I shall only add, that, if any allowance is to be made for the moon's acceleration.

[25]

for the moon's acceleration, or any other cause, the track here given, as you know, will be a little different. As I cannot make several ancient eclipses, that I have tried, succeed to my mind, without some fuch supposition, I have done the same with regard to this. What the quantity to be allowed is, I leave to you and others to determine: At present I make it 45'; at Mr. Whiston's rate of 1' in 54 years, or Then thereabouts.

The apparent time of the true conjunction at Greenwich 17 20 42 19 For the moon's acceleration Apparent time of the moon's conjunction at Greenwich corrected 17 19 57 19

Erom hence I find the passage of the penumbra at the following times as reckon'd at Greenwich, thus:

	Tim	es	L	Longitudes			Latitudes		
h	7	11	O	1	//	0	1	1,	
19	23	37	30	49	30 E	31	33	oN	
19	38	37	39	58	10	35	47	50	
19	57	19	60	30	0	40	4I	20	

By this table it appears, that the center passed more to the fouth than the former, and went near Tripoli, Aracta, Nifabin, and Ardbil.

It is much to be wish'd, that Herodotus had told us where this battle was fought; that, by this means, we might have known, which of these two paths to have preferred. However, as he hath not, and there is

is nothing in either of them, that is inconfistent with the history, I conclude, from a number of other circumstances besides, that this really was the eclipse foretold by Thales. I was not a little pleased, upon looking into my papers, to find, that Bayer and I agreed so exactly in the very year, as I was a stranger to what he had said upon that subject, till you brought me that volume of the Petersburgh Acts; and as the principles I proceeded upon were something different from his. This, however, is a presumption, that we are right, and confirms my opinion of some other articles in chronology, wherein I differ from some very great names. I am,

Dear Sir,

Islip, May 21, 1752.

Your very faithful and obliged humble fervant,

G. Costard.

IV. An Account of the Case of Anne Elizabeth Queriot, of Paris, whose Bones were distorted and softened; by Ambrose Hosty, M. D. of the Faculty of Paris.

Read Jan, 25, A NNE Elizabeth Queriot*, aged 35, native of Paris, was married in the year 1746 to a wool-carder. Tho' feemingly of

^{*} Her marriage-name was Supiot.